2018 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD

University of Louisiana at Lafayette

Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & University of Louisiana System

Public Undergraduate Teacher Preparation Program

				BASIC PROGRAM	INFORMA	TION					
Program Web Site		http://coe.louisiana.edu/									
Approval/Accreditation			Status								
		State: Board	Approved								
		State: Board	Approved								
		Regional: Sou (SACSCOC)	Accredited								
		National: Na	Accredited								
		Education Ac									
		Preparation (
Type of Prog	ram	Traditional (L	Indergr	aduate)							
				CANDIDATE SELE	CTION PRO	FILE					
Academic Strength		Completer Pa	ssage F	Rate on Praxis Skill	s Assessme	nt (201	L5-16)			100%	
		Median GPA	3.22								
		Median GPA	3.22								
		Number of Candidates who Started but Did not Complete the Program Within 6 Years									
		(by 2015-16)	Available								
Teaching Pro	mise	Data not yet	Pata not yet available.								
Candidates/		Candidates	Enrolled			Completers			Total		
Completer		(2015-16)	269				180			449	
Diversity		Enrolled	Males Females								
		Gender	34			235					
		Enrolled	Hispar		Asian	_	Black	Islande		Multi-Racial	
		Race	7	4	4		23	0	224	7	
		KNO\	VLEDGI	E AND SKILLS FOR	TEACHING	OF CO	MPLET	ERS			
Knowledge	Content	Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Content Assessments (2015-16)									
Pedagogical		Completer Pa	100%								
	Overall	Completer Pa	100%								
Clinical Experiences		Student	Clock Hours of Clinical Experiences Prior to Student Teaching						180		
		Teaching		lock Hours of Clinical Experiences uring Student Teaching			Number of Weeks		Number of Clock Hours per Week	Total Number of Clock Hours	
							14		35	490	
Licensure Requirements		Number and Requirement	100%								
Completer R	ating	Data Not Yet		le							
Completer				ITY AND ALIGNM	FNT TO ST	ATF NF	FDS OF	COMPLE	TFRS		
Entry and Persistence in		Percentage &	78% (n=141)								
Teaching in I		Percentage &	Data Not Yet								
Schools in Louisiana		i creentage a	Available								
		2011-12	& 2016-17								
(Please examine		Number of		Number &	Number	r &	Numl	er &	Number &	Number &	
Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these		2011-12 Completers		Percentage Teaching in 2012-13	Percenta Teaching 2013-1	gin	Perce Teach 2014	ing in	Percentage Teaching in 2015-16	Percentage Teaching in 2016-17	
scores.)		100%		70%	72%		70		68%	64%	
		(n=208)		(n=146)	(n=14	9)	(n=1	.46)	(n=141)	(n=134)	

2018 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD (CONT'D)

University of Louisiana at Lafayette

Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & University of Louisiana System

Public Undergraduate Teacher Preparation Program

PERFORMANC	E AS CLASSROOM TEACHERS (NEW TE	ACHERS WITH	LESS THAN TWO Y	EARS OF TEACHING	6)				
Impact on	Compass Student Outcome Mean & Number of Scores								
K-12 Students	Score (2014-15, 2015-16, & 2016-	3.3							
	17) and Number of Scores for All	(n=717)							
(Please examine the 2018	New Teachers with Less than Two	(/=-/,							
Louisiana Teacher Preparation									
Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	Years of Teaching Percentage and Number of 2014-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Student Outcome Scores							
scores.)	15, 2015-16, & 2016-17 <i>Compass</i>	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
,	Student Outcome Scores for the								
	New Teachers by LDOE Teacher	2%	10%	32%	57%				
	Effectiveness Levels	(n=13)	(n=72)	(n=227)	(n=405)				
Demonstrated Teaching	Mean Compass Professional	Compass Professional Practice Mean & Number of Scores							
Skill	Practice Score (2014-15, 2015-16,			2.2					
	& 2016-17) and Number of Scores	3.2 (n=717)							
(Please examine the 2018	for All New Teachers with Less than	(11-717)							
Louisiana Teacher Preparation	Two Years of Teaching								
Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2014-	Compass T	eacher Effectiveness Le	vels for Professional Pr	actice Scores				
scores.)	15, 2015-16, & 2016-17 <i>Compass</i>	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
•	Professional Practice Scores for the	0%	9%	61%	30%				
	New Teachers by LDOE Teacher	(n=≤10)	(n=67)	(n=434)	(n=214)				
	Effectiveness Levels								
Overall Impact and	Mean Compass Final Evaluation	Compass Final Evaluation Mean & Number of Scores							
Demonstrated Teaching	Score (2014-15, 2015-16, & 2016-	3.3							
Skill	17) and Number of Scores for New			=717)					
	Teachers with Less than Two Years	,							
(Please examine the 2018	of Teaching								
Louisiana Teacher Preparation	Percentage and Number of 2014-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Final Evaluation Scores							
Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	15, 2015-16, & 2016-17 <i>Compass</i>	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
scores.)	Final Evaluation Scores for the New	0%	10%	53%	37%				
,	Teachers by LDOE Teacher	(n=≤10)	(n=73)	(n=378)	(n=264)				
	Effectiveness Levels								
State Value Added Scores	Content Areas	Mean, Number	of Scores, & Effectiven	ess Levels for Value-Ad	ded Scores of Ten				
for New Teachers in	Content 7 ii cus	or More New Teachers with Less Than Two Years of Teaching who Taught Durin							
Grades 4-8 with Less than		2014-15, 2015-16, and 2016-17							
Two Years of Teaching by	Mathematics	1.5							
Content Areas (Ten or		1		=56)	urable erranta				
More New Teachers)		Ineffective 5%	Effective Emerging 34%	Effective Proficient 34%	Highly Effective 27%				
•				(n=19)					
(Please examine the 2018	Science	(n=≤10)	(n=19)	, ,	(n=15)				
Louisiana Teacher Preparation	Science			0.1 (n=58)					
		I	,	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these		Ineffective	Effective Fmerging						
interpret the meaning of these scores.)		Ineffective 12%	Effective Emerging 28%		<u> </u>				
interpret the meaning of these		12%	28%	45%	16%				
interpret the meaning of these	Social Studies		28% (n=16)	45% (n=26)					
interpret the meaning of these		12% (n=≤10)	28% (n=16)	45% (n=26) I/A	16% (n=≤10)				
interpret the meaning of these	(Note: Data are not available –	12% (n=≤10)	28% (n=16) N	45% (n=26) I/A Effective Proficient	16% (n=≤10) Highly Effective				
interpret the meaning of these		12% (n=≤10)	28% (n=16)	45% (n=26) I/A	16% (n=≤10)				
interpret the meaning of these	(Note: Data are not available – new assessments being	12% (n=≤10)	28% (n=16) N Effective Emerging N/A	45% (n=26) I/A Effective Proficient N/A	16% (n=≤10) Highly Effective				
interpret the meaning of these	(Note: Data are not available – new assessments being developed.)	12% (n=≤10)	28% (n=16) N Effective Emerging N/A	45% (n=26) I/A Effective Proficient N/A	16% (n=≤10) Highly Effective				
interpret the meaning of these	(Note: Data are not available – new assessments being developed.)	12% (n=≤10) Ineffective N/A	28% (n=16) Effective Emerging N/A (n=16)	45% (n=26) I/A Effective Proficient N/A 0.7 =66)	16% (n=≤10) Highly Effective N/A				